

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,360	10/31/2003	Eric S. Olson	TCOM0012	5403
39258 TENSORCOM	7590 07/30/2007		EXAMINER	
TENSORCOMM, INC. 1490 W. 121ST AVE., SUITE 202			· MALEK, LEILA	
WESTMINISTER, CO 80234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
•	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Latia Malek 2811	Office Action Summary		10/699,360	OLSON ET AL.					
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR.THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exemisors of time ray be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.18(a), no event, however, any a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is sportfed above, the monitorium statisticity period will apply and will expire 3 to the policitation become ABANDOME (35 U.S. £, 133). Any reply received by the Office label than from monitors after the mailing date of the communication, reply interested and the properties of the communication of the policitation is communication. Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 May 2007. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL 2b) ■ This action is FINAL 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ■ Claim(s) 1.9.12-21.24-29 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 1.9.12-21.24-29 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) 1.9.12-21.24-29 and 32 is/are rejected to . 8) ■ Claim(s) 1.9.12-21.24-29 and 35 is/are coljected to . 8) ■ Claim(s) 1.9.12-21.24-29 and 35 is/are coljected to . 8) ■ Claim(s) 1.9.12-21.24-29 and 35 is/are coljected to . 9) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The Application is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a			Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR.THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.** ***article VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN			Leila Malek	2611	_				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available used the provision of 37 EPR 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a rapy by be limity filed after 3X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If Disperiod or right is specified above, the maximum and apply and will apply and and apply and will apply and and apply and will apply and apply and will apply and apply apply and apply a			on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{08}\$ May 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex\$ parte \$Quay/e\$, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.32}{2.32}\$ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.912}{2.12.24.29}\$ and \$2\$ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.912}{2.12.24.29}\$ and \$32\$ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.912}{2.12.24.29}\$ and \$32\$ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.912}{2.12.24.29}\$ and \$32\$ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.912}{2.23.30}\$ and \$31\$ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.912}{2.23.30}\$ and \$31\$ is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on \$\frac{1.11322006}{2.06}\$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on \$\frac{1.11322006}{2.06}\$ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application the drawing sheet(5) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a clai	WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINg ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9.12-21.22-12 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-9.12-21.22-12 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10.11,22,23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 10.11,22,23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 10.11,22,23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * C) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftspersson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	Status		•						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9.12-21.22-12 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-9.12-21.22-12 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10.11,22,23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 10.11,22,23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 10.11,22,23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * C) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftspersson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08) 6) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 May 2007.	•					
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	´—	, -		ters, prosecution as to the	merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 1-9.12-21.24-29 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 10.11.22.33.0 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	. ,								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) ☐ Other:	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other:	4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9.12-21,24-29 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10.11.22,23,30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	· · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9.12-21,24-29 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10.11.22,23,30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
7) Claim(s) 10.11.22.23.30 and 31 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: 10 Other: 11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12 Notice of Informal Patent Application 13 Notice of Informal Patent Application 14 Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other: 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☐ Other:									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application en Control Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/13/2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• -								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	, ,								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: 11 Other: 12 Others	10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/13/2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: 11 Other: 12 Others Drawing Review (PTO-948) 13 Others Drawing Patent Application 15 Others Drawing Patent Application 16 Others Drawing Patent Application		Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	•		preign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	۵,۱		iments have been received						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date				Application No					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				•	Stane				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date		•		r received in this National C	olage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	* 0	• •		t maaiyad					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:		see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	•								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:			A) [] 1-4	Cummany (DTO 442)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)			5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application					
			6)	 -					

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed on 05/08/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4, 6, 7, 16, 17, 19, 24-26, 27, and 29 under Zehavi in view of Madhow have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Madhow et al. (hereafter, referred as Madhow) (US 6,175,587).

As to claim 32, Madhow discloses a processing engine 400 (see Figs. 3 and 4) configured for generating a matrix of one or more vectors based on determined codes (column 6, lines 66-67, column 7, lines 1-5, 16-37, column 8, lines 10-13), wherein each element of the vectors comprises a component of the determined codes (column 9, lines 31-67, column 10, lines 1-6) and an application unit (see e.g. unit 216) communicatively coupled to the matrix generator (see column 7, lines 29-37) and configured for using the matrix to selectively substantially cancel one or more of a

Art Unit: 2611

plurality of signals (column 6, lines 65-67, column 7, lines 29-65, column 8, lines 40-49, column 9, lines 17-26, 31-67, column 10, lines 1-6, 8-13).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 16-21, and 24-29, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein et al. (hereafter, referred as Klein) (US 2004/0132443), in view of Madhow.

As to claim 1. Klein discloses a receiver comprising a demodulator unit configured for determining a code from each of a plurality of signals and for demodulating one or more of the plurality of signals (see paragraphs 0001-0002). Klein discloses all the subject matters claimed in claim 1, except for a processing engine communicatively coupled to the demodulator unit and configured for generating a matrix of one or more vectors based on determined codes, wherein each element of the vectors comprises a component of the determined codes and wherein the matrix is used to selectively substantially reduce energy from one or more of the signals. Madhow, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a processing engine 400 (see Figs. 3 and 4) communicatively coupled to the demodulator unit (Fig. 2 (220)) and configured for generating a matrix of one or more vectors based on determined codes (column 6, lines 66-67, column 7, lines 1-5, 16-37, column 8, lines 10-13), wherein each element of the

Art Unit: 2611

vectors comprises a component of the determined codes (column 9, lines 31-67, column 10, lines 1-6) and wherein the matrix is used to selectively substantially reduce energy from one or more of the signals (column 6, lines 65-67, column 7, lines 29-65, column 8, lines 40-49, column 9, lines 17-26, 31-67, column 10, lines 1-6, 8-13). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow, in order to implement interference suppression adaptively (Madhow, column 2, lines 51-55) and improve the interference cancellation process (see column 2, lines 56-57).

As to claims 16 and 24, Klein discloses a receiver comprising a demodulator unit configured for determining a code from each of a plurality of signals and for demodulating one or more of the plurality of signals (see paragraphs 0001-0002). Klein discloses all the subject matters claimed in claims 16, and 24, except for generating a matrix of one or more vectors based on determined codes, wherein each element of the vectors comprises a component of the determined codes; and using the matrix to selectively substantially reduce energy from one or more of the signals thereby reducing interference. Madhow, in the same field of endeavor, discloses a processing engine 400 (see Figs. 3 and 4) communicatively coupled to the demodulator unit (Fig. 2 (220)) and configured for generating a matrix of one or more vectors based on determined codes (column 7, lines 1- 5, 16-37, column 8, lines 10-13), wherein each element of the vectors comprises a component of the determined codes (column 9, lines 31-67, column 10, lines 1-6) and wherein the matrix is used to selectively substantially reduce energy from one or more of the signals (column 6, lines 65-67, column 7, lines 29-65, column 8, lines

40-49, column 9, lines 17-26, 31-67, column 10, lines 1-6, 8-13). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow in order to implement interference suppression adaptively (Madhow, column 2, lines 51-55) and improve the interference cancellation process (see column 2, lines 56-57).

As to claim 2, Klein further discloses that the receiver comprising a searcher finger (see Fig. 4, block 60) configured for selecting signals for demodulation from the plurality of signals and for determining one or more codes from selected signals (see Fig. 6, paragraphs 0002, 0039, and 0043).

As to claim 3, Klein discloses that the demodulator unit comprises a plurality of demodulator fingers configured for demodulating the selected signals (see paragraph 0039).

As to claim 4, Klein further discloses that the determined codes comprise code offsets in time from one another (See paragraph 0002).

As to claims 5, 19, and 27, Madhow further discloses that the matrix comprises one composite interference vector having one or more elements, wherein each element of the vector includes a component of a determined code and a relative amplitude of one of the signals associated with the determined code (columns 6-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow to suppress the interference more effectively.

As to claim 6, Madhow further discloses each vector of the matrix has one or more elements, each element comprising a component of a determined code associated with one of the plurality of signals (see columns 6-9).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claim 7, Madhow further discloses that a radio frequency front end configured for receiving the signals (column 4, lines 26-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow, in order to process the signal (e.g. down-convert it to baseband) before sending it to the other part of the receiver.

As to claim 8, Madhow further discloses in (Fig. 4 (402,404)) wherein the processing engine comprises a channel selector configured for selecting components of the determined codes from signals selected for energy reduction (column 7, lines 29-49, column 8, lines 40-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow to suppress the interference more effectively.

As to claims 9, 21, and 29, Madhow further discloses that the processing engine is further configured to generate, a cancellation operator used to substantially reduce the energy of the signals selected for energy reduction (column 7, lines 6-33, column 8, lines 40-60, column 10, lines 3-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow to suppress the interference more effectively.

As to claims 17 and 25, Klein further discloses demodulating one or more signals from the plurality of signals (see paragraphs 0005 and 0039).

As to claims 18 and 26, Klein further discloses determining one or more codes for signals selected from the plurality of signals (see paragraph 0002).

Art Unit: 2611

As to claims 20 and 28, Madhow further discloses constructing the matrix from a plurality of the vectors, wherein each vector of the matrix has one or more elements, each element comprising a component of a determined code associated with one of the plurality of signals (see column 8, lines 40-54, column 9, lines 17-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein as suggested by Madhow to suppress the interference more effectively.

4. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein and Madhow, further in view of Applicant's background of invention.

As to claim 12, Klein and Madhow disclose all the limitations claimed in claim 12, except that the determined codes are selected from a group consisting of a combination of a spreading code and a convering code. Applicant in the background of invention discloses that the codes are selected from a group consisting of a combination of a spreading code and a convering code (see pages 2-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein and Madhow as suggested by Applicant's background of invention to reduce the interference between the signals received at the receiver (see pages 2-3).

As to claim 13, Applicant in the background of invention further discloses that the spreading code is a short code (see page 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a short codes to encode signals before transmission to reduce the interference between the signals transmitted from different base stations (see page 2).

As to claim 14, Applicant in the background of invention further discloses that the covering code is selected from a group consisting of a Walsh code and a quasi-orthogonal function code (see page 2, last paragraph). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein and Madhow as suggested by Applicant's background of invention to reduce the interference between the signals received at the receiver (see pages 2-3).

As to claim 15, Applicant in the background of invention further discloses that the signals are selected from a group consisting of cdma2000 signals and cdmaOne signals (see page 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Klein and Madhow as suggested by Applicant's background of invention to take advantage of higher data rates in the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10, 11, 22, 23, 30, and 31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leila Malek whose telephone number is 571-272-8731. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2611

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leila Malek Examiner

Art Unit 2611

L.M.

Page 9